



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: November 12, 2020

TIME: 8:27 AM

WSR 20-23-041

Agency: County Road Administration Board

☒ **Original Notice**

☐ **Supplemental Notice to WSR**

☐ **Continuance of WSR**

☐ **Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR** ; or

☐ **Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR** ; or

☐ **Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or**

☒ **Proposal is exempt under RCW 36.78.**

Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) Amendments to WAC 136-500-080 payback terms for the Emergency Loan Program.

Hearing location(s):

Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
-------	-------	-------------------------	----------

January 28, 2021	2:00pm	2404 Chandler Court SW	
------------------	--------	------------------------	--

Date of intended adoption: January 28, 2021 (Note: This is **NOT** the **effective** date)

Submit written comments to:

Name: Karen Pendleton

Address: 2404 Chandler Court SW, Ste 240

Email: karen@crab.wa.gov

Fax:

Other:

By (date) January 22, 2021

Assistance for persons with disabilities:

Contact Karen Pendleton

Phone: 360 753 5989

Fax:

TTY: 800 833 6384

Email: karen@crab.wa.gov

Other:

By (date) January 22, 2021

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: This proposed amendment to WAC 136-500-080 defines a County's payback terms of the Emergency Loan Program.

Reasons supporting proposal: This proposed amendment to WAC 136-500-080 gives a County options in payback terms of the Emergency Loan Program.

Statutory authority for adoption: 36.78

Statute being implemented: N/A

Is rule necessary because of a:

Federal Law?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Federal Court Decision?

☐ Yes ☒ No

State Court Decision?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, CITATION:

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: N/A

Name of proponent: (person or organization) County Road Administration Board

☐ Private

☐ Public

☒ Governmental

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

Name

Office Location

Phone

Drafting: Steve Johnson, PE

2404 Chandler Court SW, Ste 240, Olympia 98504 360-753-5989

Implementation: Drew Woods, PE

2404 Chandler Court SW, Ste 240, Olympia 98504 360-753-5989

Enforcement: John Koster

2404 Chandler Court SW, Ste 240, Olympia 98504 360-753-5989

Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:

Name:

Address:

Phone:

Fax:

TTY:

Email:

Other:

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?

☐ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

Phone:

Fax:

TTY:

Email:

Other:

☒ No: Please explain: N/A

Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small Business Economic Impact Statement:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, **may be exempt** from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.

Citation and description:

- ☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule.
- ☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.

☒ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)
(Internal government operations) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)
(Dictated by statute) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)
(Incorporation by reference) | <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)
(Set or adjust fees) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)
(Correct or clarify language) | <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)
((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit) |

☒ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 36.78.

Explanation of exemptions, if necessary:

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES

If the proposed rule is **not exempt**, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?

- ☐ No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated. _____
- ☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

Date: 11/5/2019

Name: John Koster

Title: Executive Director

Signature:

